CHANGE RINGING FOR THE FUTURE

REPORT ON BREAKOUT GROUPS

A. What's working well in your areas?

Working together	 Coming together, despite long distances Good, effective communication with all members eg Facebook, but communication channels are fragmented Strong sense of community despite long distances Local maintenance (necessarily)
Training and development	 New technology – telecoms, simulators, online resources etc School strong impact (Verona), advanced level of musical training required ITTS effective – active in NAGCR and ANZAB (10% of ANZAB members have attended ITTS courses)
Other	 ANZAB and NAGCR growing Effective at engaging the public with something novel Strategic plan based on 2012 survey (ANZAB) Examples of robust leadership rotation (NAGCR)

B. What we are working on in our areas

Working together	 Even in these modern times people some don't want to travel far Some traditional and geographical territorial society boundaries
Recruitment & training	 Recruitment – symptom not cause. Need to engage-recruit-train-retain-develop Confidence and skill in teaching Investment in people, not just infrastructure
Other	 Welsh/Scottish/Irish/S African evidence of decline Need to develop and maintain maintenance skills locally Need to maintain and develop effective leadership

C. What cross-boundary initiatives are happening?

Working together	 Exchange of NAGCR and ANZAB newsletters. Lines of communication are open 		
Training	 Prepared to support development of ringing at regional towers e.g. by visits or by subsidising ringers to attend central event 		
Other	 Some of our organisations are trans-national (ANZAB, NAGCR); SAG is South Africa only and has limited interaction with other African towers. Four Welsh Associations were represented – some interaction but commonly across border into England. 		



D.	What are	the	cross-boundary	opportunities	in	your region?	

General	 Common challenges would be useful to explore together
	 Sharing ideas would be helpful
	 NAGCR, ANZAB and SAG see obvious commonality, but the same challenges of disparate towers and the need for long distance support is clearly apparent in Ireland, Scotland, Wales.
	Some lessons to be learned from the Veronese emphasis on training and schools, but the style of ringing is very different. Is there a fit?
	 Share and promote experiences into England (in particular) of use of telecommunications, simulator environments, training and travel culture
Recruitment &	 Sharing of education options (eg specialist courses)
training	 Good recruitment opportunities at universities (noted: Australian university culture unusual – very common to stay in home city)
	 Noted a push from some external comments of the need to emphasise in training and development of people rather than infrastructure.
	Understand and share why ANZAB and NAGCR are growing. What is working and what lessons can be learned?

ISSUES FOR CENTRAL COUNCIL

- Website is in urgent need of redevelopment.
- Concept of leadership training and promotion of good practice is endorsed
- Recognise that bottom-up support is needed, not a solution imposed from above
- Learn from the international experience. We are about 4% of the ringing community but 15-20% of the economic activity in ringing
- In particular, development of support at distance, recognising opportunities available through Internet technologies.
- Asymmetry: Central Council has much to learn from the international experience. However what the non-English Associations get back is quite different one solution does not fit all. Eg. Stewardship Committee principles are useful but details don't apply directly under Australian vs New Zealand vs USA vs Canada (etc) regulations.
- Peal ringing is in decline is this an effective proxy for the state of ringing?

NOTE: Welsh societies felt more connected to societies in England, all others identified as national membership-based associations; Police Guild joined the group recognising non-territorial nature

